

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

FRANK STERBA,

Case No. 3:13-cv-00859-TC

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

AIKEN, Judge:


On September 30, 2014, Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Findings and Recommendation, finding that the Commissioner's decision denying disability benefits was supported by substantial evidence in the record and recommending that the Commissioner's decision be affirmed. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v.

Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981). Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Findings and Recommendation. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Judge Coffin's opinion, and I find no error.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 22) filed September 30, 2014 is ADOPTED. The decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED and this case is DISMISSED
IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 16th day of December, 2014.



Ann Aiken
United States District Judge